Chapter 5
Designing Interactive Systems

- 5.1 Design vs. Requirements
- 5.2 Design and development process
- 5.3 Creativity methods
- 5.4 Tools and methods in the early design phase
  - 5.4.1 Scenario Development and Persona
  - 5.4.2 Sketches and Storyboards
  - 5.4.3 Concept Videos
- 5.5 Prototyping
- 5.6 Wizard of Oz
- 5.7 Describing and specifying interactive systems
Interactive Systems
What can be described?

- System functionality with regard to interaction
- Overall interaction concepts (metaphors, styles)
- Layout of key screens, sketches
- Layout of user interface elements (e.g. buttons, icons)
- Navigation and interaction details
- Interactive behavior of a system
- Platform requirements
- Functional assertions (e.g. login will take on average 7 seconds, average time per case is 2 minutes)
- User groups
- ...

Interactive Systems
How to describe them?

- Informal
  - System descriptions in plain text
  - Scenarios and use cases
  - Sketches and designs
  - Task-action-mappings
- Semi-formal
  - Task-action-grammar
  - Abstract UI description languages
  - UMLi
- Implementation languages
  - XML based languages (e.g. XUL)
  - Can be used to generate a concrete UI for the target platform
- ...more next term
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Implementing Interactive Systems
(selected topics)

- 6.1 Constraints
- 6.2 Mapping
- 6.3 Guidelines
Constraints

- Physical constraints
  - basic physical limitations

- Semantic constraints
  - Assumption that create something meaningful

- Cultural constraints
  - Borders provided by cultural conventions

- Logical constraints
  - Restrictions due to reasoning

- Applying constraints is a design decision!

Date constrained

Date unconstrained

GUI Example

Constraints & Redundancy

- Redundancy is safe!
- Constraints can only work at their own level
- But: things can go wrong elsewhere
Cultural Constraints

- Universal or culturally specific
- Arbitrary conventions that have been learned
- Users’ expectations build on cultural constraints

Foreign Cultures: Example
Physical Constraints & Affordances

Examples

- USB Memory Stick vs. DVD vs. money
  - If there is more than one option (physically) cater these cases

- Dials vs. Buttons vs. Sliders
  - Dials are turned
  - Buttons are pressed
  - Sliders are pushed
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Mapping

- Relationship between controls and action
- Mappings should be
  - Understandable (e.g. moving the mouse up move the slider up)
  - Consistent
  - Recognizable or at least quickly learnable and easy to recall
  - Natural, meaning to be consistent with knowledge the user already has
- Example: cooker
  (for these issues see also Gestalt theory)

Mapping & Human Error

- Labels are correct
- However full context is needed
- Built-in source for potential frustration
- Missing context
Mapping & Human Error

- Labels are correct
- However full context is needed
- Built-in source for potential frustration
- Missing context

Mapping – Examples (1)

- Relationship between controls and action
Mapping – Examples (2)

- Relationship between controls and action

Mapping – Examples (3)

- Relationship between controls and action
Mapping – Examples (4)

- Relationship between controls and action

Please attach a Message to Your Order.

Message Text:

Position to Print Message:
- top-left
- top
- top-right
- left
- centre
- right
- bottom-left
- bottom
- bottom-right

Submit  Reset

Mapping – Examples (6)

- Relationship between controls and action

Please attach a Message to Your Order.

Message Text:

Position to Print Message:
- top-left
- top
- top-right
- left
- centre
- right
- bottom-left
- bottom
- bottom-right

Submit  Reset
Mapping – Examples (5)

- “natural” mappings can be found in many areas
- It is not always obvious what the “natural” mapping is
- Correlation with cultural constraints
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Hix and Hartson’s guidelines

1. User centered design
2. Know the user
3. Involve the user
4. Prevent user errors
5. Optimize user operation
6. Keep control with the user
7. Help the user to get started
8. Give a task-based mental model
9. Be consistent
10. Keep it simple
11. Design for memory limitations
12. Use recognition rather recall
13. Use cognitive directness
14. Draw on real world analogies

Hix and Hartson guidelines (2)

15. Use informative feedback
16. Give status indicators
17. Use user-centred wording
18. Use non-threatening wording
19. Use specific constructive advice
20. Make the system take the blame
21. Do not anthropomorphise

- Use modes cautiously
- Make user action reversible
- Get attention judiciously
- Maintain display inertia
- Organize screen to manage complexity
- Accommodate individual difference

(Hix and Hartson, Developing User Interfaces, Wiley, 1993)
GNOME Guideline

1. Usability Principles
   - Design for People
   - Don’t Limit Your User Base
   - Accessibility
   - Internationalization and Localization
   - Create a Match Between Your Application and the Real World
   - Make Your Application Consistent
   - Keep the User Informed
   - Keep It Simple and Pretty
   - Put the User in Control
   - Forgive the User
   - Provide Direct Manipulation

2. Desktop Integration
   - Placing Entries in the Applications Menu
   - Menu Item Names

3. Windows
   - Titles
   - Layout
   - Common Dialogs

4. Menus
   - The Menubar
   - Types of Menu
   - Drop-down Menus
   - Help

5. Toolbars
   - Appearance and Content

6. Controls
   - Sliders
   - Buttons
   - Check Boxes

Drag and Drop Semantics

Your application must determine whether to move or copy a dragged item after it is dropped on a destination. The appropriate behavior depends on the context of the drag-and-drop operation, as described in this section.

Move Versus Copy

If the source and destination are in the same container (for example, a window or a volume), a drag-and-drop operation is interpreted as a move (that is, cut and paste). Dragging an item from one container to another initiates a copy (copy and paste). The user can perform a copy operation within the same container by pressing the Option key while dragging. When performing a copy operation, indicate a copy operation to the user by using the copy cursor. (See “Standard Cursors” (page 67).)

Example 1: Apple Human Interface Guidelines (page 42)
**Icon Genres and Families**

Genres help communicate what you can do with an application before you open it. Applications are divided into roles—user applications, software utilities, and so on—and subcategory, or genre, has its own icon style. This differentiation is very important for helping users easily distinguish between types of icons in the Dock.

**Figure 54** Application icons of different genres—user applications and utilities—are shown as they might appear in the Dock.

For example, the icons for user applications are colorful and inviting, while utilities have a more serious appearance. Figure 52 shows user application icons in the top row, and utility icons in the bottom row. These genres are further described in “User Application Icons” (page 57) and “Utility Icons” (page 58).

**Example 2:**

**Apple Human Interface Guidelines**

(page 55)

---

**Example 2:**

**Apple Human Interface Guidelines**

(page 126 & 134)
Example 2: Apple Human Interface Guidelines (page 138, 163 & 190)

Example 2: Apple Human Interface Guidelines (page 207, 209 & 210)
Specific Guidelines for Operating Systems, Window Managers, and the WWW

Some Examples:

- Introduction to the Apple Human Interface Guidelines

- KDE User Interface Guidelines

- Palm OS® User Interface Guidelines

- MSDN - User Interface Design and Development
  [http://msdn.microsoft.com](http://msdn.microsoft.com)

- GNOME Human Interface Guidelines (1.1 - DRAFT)

- Web Guidelines???
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Chapter 7
Evaluation
(selected topics)

- 7.1 User studies
- 7.2 Heuristic Evaluation
What to evaluate?

- The usability of a system!

- … it depends on the stage of a project
  - Ideas and concepts
  - Designs
  - Prototypes
  - Implementations
  - Products in use

- … it also depends on the goals

- Approaches
  - Formative evaluation – throughout the design, helps to shape a product
  - Summative evaluation – quality assurance of the finished product.

Why Studies and Experiments?

- To measure more precisely the usability or other features

- Applicable mainly to
  - Functional prototypes
  - Testing an implementation
  - Quality monitoring of software products

- To compare solutions, e.g.
  - Users are quicker using version A than using version B
  - Users make 10% less errors when using version X than when using version Y

- To provide quantitative figures, e.g.
  - 90% of the users can complete the transaction using version Y in less than 3 minutes
  - On average users will be able to buy a ticket using version A in less than 30 seconds
Designing the experiment

- Basic Scientific Method
  1. Form Hypothesis
  2. Collect data
  3. Analyze
  4. Accept/reject hypothesis

- Issues for user studies
  - System to test
  - Participants
  - Hypothesis
  - Variables
  - Experimental Methods
  - Statistical approach

Does computer science fit this traditional science approach?
Is it really possible to prove usability?

Procedure for user studies

- Set goals
- Design the experiment
- Schedule users
- For each user (typical example):
  - Inform the user and sign the consent form
  - Do a survey on demographics and questions of interest to the experiment
  - Give the participant instructions on the task – do not reveal the hypotheses
  - (optional) Make a training run - depends on the study
  - Perform the actual run and measure variables
  - (optional) do a survey on subjective measure
  - Be available for questions of participants or for their (informal) feedback
- Analyze the results
Participants (Subjects)

- How many participants do we need?
  - Depending on the project and the goals
  - Depending on the set-up
    - measuring the login-in time of remote users vs.
    - Doing a full video observation for a 1 hour task
  - Be pragmatic
  - Minimal size of about 10 participants

- Participants should be representative for the user group
  - Age, background, skills, experience, …
  - In most cases the other people on the team are NOT representative!

- How to recruit participants
  - Customer data base
  - Market research services
  - Volunteers (online, newspapers, etc) – this is risky because the people who will respond are often not representative

Selection of Participants

- Services offered that allow to get participants fitting a specific description
- Methods widely used in market research

- Example: Online Panel
  - For online questionnaires
  - Pool of users
  - Customer can specify the users that should take part

- How do companies get their subjects?
  - Incentive (money, prices, …)
  - Big set of questions when registering potential users, show examples from ComCult Online Panel
Variables

- Variables are manipulated and measured
  - Independent variables are manipulated
  - Dependent variables are measured
- The conditions of the experiment are set by independent variables
  - E.g. number of items in a list, text size, font, color
  - The number of different values used is called level
  - The number of experimental conditions is the product of the levels
  - E.g. font can be times or arial (2 levels), background can be blue, green, or white (3 levels). This results in 6 experimental conditions (times on blue, times, on green, ..., arial on white)
- The dependent variables are the values that can be measured
  - Objective values: e.g. time to complete a task, number of errors, etc.
  - Subjective values: ease of use, preferred option
  - They should only be dependent on changes of the independent variables

Hypotheses

- Prediction of the result of an experiment
- Stating how a change in the independent variables will effect the measured dependent variables
- With the experiment it can be shown that the hypotheses is correct
- Usual approach
  - Stating a null-hypotheses (this predicts that there is not effect of the change in the independent variable on the measured variable)
  - Carrying out the experiment and using statistical measures to disprove the null-hypotheses
  - When a statistical test shows a significant difference it is probable that the effect is not random
Designing the experiment

- The experiment should be set up to be reproducible!
- Main factors
  - Participants
  - Independent variables
  - Hypotheses stated
- Approach
  - state the hypotheses – what do you want to proof
  - find the variables? Which are varied? which are measured?
  - Find participants – representative for the experiment
  - Fix the method to use (between-groups / within groups)

Experimental Method

- Within groups
  - Each user performs under all the different conditions
  - Important to randomize the order of the conditions for each participant
  - Problems
    - Learning may influence results
  - Advantages
    - The effect of differences between individuals are lessened
    - Fewer participants required
- Between groups (randomize)
  - One condition is selected for each participant
  - Each user performs only under one condition (avoids learning)
  - Careful selection of groups is essential
  - Drawback
    - Differences between individuals in different groups can play an important role (leads to large groups)
    - More user required
    - Usually harder to show significance
Statistical Tests

- See statistics text book (e.g. form psychology or medical tests)
- Software packages offer functions
- Test selected depends on
  - Distribution of the measured variables
  - The type of variables (continuous or discrete)
  - Experimental Method
- Example: Student’s t-test
  - On the difference of means
  - Assumes a normal distribution
  - Functions available in spreadsheet software and statistics packages
- Example ANOVA
  - Analysis of Variance
- “significant difference”
  - Simplified: the probability that effect observed is random is less the 0.05

T-Test example in Excel

- TTEST(...)
- Parameters
  - Data row 1
  - Data row 2
  - Ends (1 or 2)
  - Type (paired, same variance, different variance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Time M1</th>
<th>Time M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

average 54.4267 44.9167

t test (paired) 0.042

| t test (un-paired) 0.137 |
Further Issues

- Consent form – get written consent from participants
  - Templates available
  - May be checked with the legal department / review board

- Let participants know what they are doing
  - What is the participant expected to do
  - Procedure
  - How long will it take, breaks
  - What is the study for in general – but do NOT tell about the specific purpose or your hypotheses

- Make sure they know
  - Quality of a UI / software is tested
  - They are NOT tested

- Ethical Issues
Participants Consent (Example)

Participants Consent Form

Study _____________________________ Institution ____________________________

Name: ________________________________ Date of Birth: ______________________
Email: ________________________________ Phone: ____________________________

I have been informed on the procedure and purpose of the study and my questions have been answer to my satisfaction.
I have volunteered to take part in this study and agree that during the study information is recorded (audio and video as well as my interaction with the system). This information may only be used for research and teaching purpose. I understand that my participation in this study is confidential. All personal information and individual results will not be released to third parties without my written consent.

I understand that I can withdraw from participation in the study at any time.

Date: ___________________ Signature:________________________________________

Example:

Study on Text Input

- Is text input by keyboard really better than using T9 on a phone?

- Compare text input speed and errors made
  - Qwertz-keyboard on a notebook computer
  - T9 on a mobile phone

- Concentrate on test input only, ignore:
  - Time to setup / boot / initialize the device
  - Time to get into the application
Example:
Study on Text Input (2)

- Participants
  - How many?
  - Skills
    - Computer user?
    - Phone/T9 users?

- Independent variables
  - Input method
  - Text to input

- Dependent variables
  - Time to input a text
  - Number of errors made

Example:
Study on Text Input (3)

- Independent variables
  - Input method,
    - 2 levels: Keyboard and T9
  - Text to input
    - 1 level: text with about 10 words

- Experimental conditions
  - 2 conditions – T9 and Key
  - User 1,3,5,7,9 perform T9 than Key
  - User 2,4,6,8,10 perform Key than T9
  - Different texts in first and second run?
  - Particular phone model?
  - Completion time is measure (e.g. stop watch or application)
  - Number of error/corrections is observed
Example:
Study on Text Input (4)

- Hypotheses
  - H-1: Input by keyboard is quicker than T9
  - H-2: fewer errors are made using keyboard input compared to T9

- Null-Hypotheses
  - Assumes no effect
  - H0-1: there is no difference in the input speed between keyboard and T9
  - H0-2: there is no difference in the number of errors made using a keyboard input compared to T9

- Experimental Method
  - Within groups
  - Randomized order of conditions

Example:
Study on Text Input (5)

- Collect Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Time Cond1</th>
<th>Time Cond2</th>
<th># Err Cond1</th>
<th># Err Cond2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>c1&gt;c2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>c2&gt;c1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>c1&gt;c2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Perform a statistical analysis
- … exercise on Friday.
Example: Study on Text Input (6)

- Fairness
  - Same conditions and procedure (e.g. light condition, interruptions, noise)
  - Specify procedure for exceptions (e.g. someone does not complete the test)
  - No bias

- Participants Consent

- Further Issues?
  - Ethical issues
  - Privacy