MMI 1 Evaluate Results of a User Study

Author: Alexander De Luca + Bettina Conradi (for lecture MMI1 SS11) - LMU Munich

Study Design I

Compare 2 text input methods on a mobile phone

Type of study: Quantitative evaluation

Method 1: QWERTY Method 2: Swype

H1: Swype is faster than QWERTY H2: Swype is less error-prone than QWERTY

Study Design II

Task: Type 10 given sentences with each method. Participants: at least 10 people

Dependent variables: time (stop watch), error rate (log) Independent variables: text input method

Within subjects design Counterbalancing: even number of participants, 50% start with method 1, 50% with method 2

Study Design III

Drawback: Participants might be used to QWERTY and not to Swype text input

-> Training beforehand: before logging time and error rate for each method, participant can train method with writing 2 sentences.

Evaluate Results - Exemplary Study

Task and Results are fictional!!

Average

Task: Compare web vs. mobile app for getting trip information from Munich to Berlin on <u>www.bahn.de</u>

Results in seconds:

Web	Mobile App
50.7	52.6
46.8	50.8
52.3	49.9
49.6	51.9
56.2	56.2
47.6	52.7
52.1	54.8
49.3	56.3
47.5	49.8
51.4	51.6
50 4	52.7

-> Average values of both do not provide enough insights!

How to evaluate results: statistics

When & why to use which test -> see lecture

Statistical tests can be calculated:

- by hand ;)
- Excel/OpenOffice (statistical test are rather limited)
- SPSS (license required)
- R (for free)
- . . .

 \Rightarrow This tutorial will focus on Excel and R

Excel – Box-and-Whisker Diagram

Visualize distribution of results -> Create a Box-and-Whiskers Diagram:

Calculate min/max, median, 1st/3rd quartile (e.g. values in B2:B10):

```
=MAX(B2:B10)
=PERCENTILE(B2:B10,0.75)
=MEDIAN(B2:B10)
=PERCENTILE(B2:B10,0.25)
=MIN(B2:B10)
```



Image from http://ellerbruch.nmu.edu/cs255/jnord/boxplot.html Tutorials: http://blog.immeria.net/2007/01/box-plot-and-whisker-plots-in-excel.html http://blog.immeria.net/2007/01/box-plot-and-whisker-plots-in-excel.html http://blog.immeria.net/2007/01/box-plot-and-whisker-plots-in-excel.html

Excel – Calculate absolute/relative values

Create a Box-and-Whiskers Diagram:

	Web 50.7 46.8 52.3 49.6 56.2 47.6 52.1 49.3 47.5 51.4	Mobile 52.6 50.8 49.9 51.9 56.2 52.7 54.8 56.3 49.8 51.6	In order to create a box we do not need absolute relative values (right) (relative to lowest drawn	plot with Excel e values (left), b n box -> 1st Qua	out artile)	
Max	56.2	56.3	Max-3rd Quartile	Max	4.3	2.0
3rd Quartile	51.9	54.3	3rd Quartile-Median	3rd Quartile	1.8	2.0
Median	50.2	52.3	Median-1st quartile	Median	2.1	1.3
1st Quartile	48.0	51.0		1st Quartile	48.0	51.0
Min	46.8	49.8	1st Quartile-Min	Min	1.2	1.2

absolute

Relative to 1st Quartile

Excel – Create a stacked column chart I



Excel – Create a stacked column chart II

ł	ile Home	Insert F	Page Layout	Formulas	Data	Review	View	Acrob	bat [Design	Layout	Format				
Cl Cha	hange Save As art Type Template	Switch Row/Column	Select Data	Char	t lavouts	*	i.		I.		1.1		Chart Shul			1. Switch rows and columns
_	B20	- Outu	f _x =	B14-B15	t tayouts								Chart Styl			
1	٨	B		D D	F	F	G		н	1		ĸ		М	N	3. Swap legend entries (1st
1	A	Web	Mohile	U			0					K		IVI	IN	
2		50	0.7 52	.6												quartile is at bottom)
3		46	5,8	,8												A Neme lebele ferry exte
4		52	2,3 49,	,9												4. Name labels for x-axis
5		49	9,6 51,	,9												
6		56	5,2 56,	,2												
7		47	7,6 52,	,7												
8		52	2,1 54,	,8		55,0										
9		49	9,3 56,	,3		54,0					-					
10		47	7,5 49,	,8		53,0					-					
11		51	1,4 51,	,6		52,0	_				-					
12						51.0	_									
13	Max	56	5,2 56,	,3		50.0	_				_		Serie:	51		
14	3rd Quartile	51	1,9 54,	,3		49.0							Serie			
15	Median	50	0,2 52,	,3		49,0							Caria	-		
16	1st Quartile	48	8,0 51,	,0		40,0							= Serie:	»		
17	Min	46	5,8 49,	,8		47,0										
18						46,0										
19	Max	4	4,3 2,	,0		45,0										
20	3rd Quartile	1	1,8 2,	,0		44,0 +				1						
21	Median	2	2,1 1,	,3				Web			Mobile	Арр				
22	1st Quartile	48	8,0 51,	,0	Sele	ect Data Sou	rce							1		
23	Min	1	1,2 1,	,2												
24						hart <u>d</u> ata rar	nge:	lass das bas		76				and a star of the		
25					pan	e data range i iel.	is too comp	lex to be	displayed.	. If a new	range is sele	cted, it will re	place all of the s	eries in the	Series	
26								G		₽ Switch	h Row/Colum					
27									L		,					
28					Leg	end Entries (Series)				Horizonta	al (<u>C</u> ategory)	Axis Labels			
29						Add [X	<u>R</u> emove		E	di <u>t</u>				
30					Ser	ries3	0				Web		1			
31					Ser	ries2	.3				Mobile /	App 🖌	t. –			
32					Ser	ries1	~ •									
33																
34 25																
33 26																
30					H	idden and Em	npty Cells	ļ					OK	Ca	ncel	

Excel – Create error bars



1. Select Chart -> Layout -> Insert error bar with more options

2. Select "Minus" and "custom" error amount

3. Set as negative value "Min" values

4. Repeat for "Max" error bar

or the selected ch rd deviation	hart	rmat Error Bars			8 🛛	
5 Series1 Series2 Series3		lertical Error Bars Line Color Line Style Shadow Glow and Soft Edges	Vertical Error Bars Display Direction Display Disp	1,0 5,0 1,0 Specify <u>V</u> alue	% 2.	Custom Error Bars ? × Positive Error Value ={1} Megative Error Value -Sheet1!\$E OK Cancel

Excel – Tweak visualization

- 1. Select boxes of first quartile and format (no fill, no border)
- 2. Optional: Choose different colors
- 3. Admire result



Excel – t-test

Excel-Funktion: TTEST oder T.Test (2010)

TTEST(array1,array2,tails,type)

- Array1 is the first data set.
- Array2 is the second data set.
- **Tails**specifies the number of distribution tails.
 - 1 one-tailed distribution (testing for a directed hypothesis, e.g. higher, large faster)
 - 2 two-tailed distribution (difference between array1 and array2)
- **Type** is the kind of t-Test to perform.
 - 1 Paired (within subjects)
 - 2 Two-sample equal variance (between subjects)
 - 3 Two-sample unequal variance (between subjects)

e.g. =TTEST(B2:B11;C2:C11;2;1)

If result of t-test < 0.05 differences are significant (for 5% significance level)

Definition from http://office.microsoft.com/de-de/excel-help/ttest-HP005209325.aspx?CTT=1



- Mathematical/statistical computing software
- Free alternative to SPSS
- Also offers a comprehensive programming language



R - Assign values

```
> a = 1 # a stores the value 1
or
> a <- 1 # a stores the value 1</pre>
```

```
> a <- c(1,3,4,5) # c is a method stands for combine</p>
> a[2] # access an element in the list
[1] 3
```

R – Read a CSV file

> a <- read.csv(file="file.csv", head=TRUE,sep=",")
file: location of the file, head: does it have a header or not, sep =
seperator</pre>

R – Read a CSV file

- > a <- read.csv(file="file.csv", head=TRUE,sep=",")</pre>
 - # file: location of the file, head: does it have a header or not, sep

= seperator

Web	Mobile
50,7	52,6
46,8	50,8
52,3	49,9
49,6	51,9
56,2	56,2
47,6	52,7
52,1	54,8
49,3	56,3
47,5	49,8
51,4	51,6

> attach(a) # make the columns of file.csv available to R > web [1] 50 7 46 8 52 3 40 6 56 2 47 6 52 1 40 3 47 5 51 4

[1] 50.7 46.8 52.3 49.6 56.2 47.6 52.1 49.3 47.5 51.4

R - paired sampled T-Test

> t.test(web,mobile,paired=T)
paired data t-test

Paired t-test data: mobile and web t = -2.7197, df = 9, p-value = 0.02362 alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -4.2314137 -0.3885863 sample estimates: mean of the differences -2.31 -2.31

R – independent samples T-Test

> t.test(web,mobile)

variances unequal Welch procedure t-test

R - Boxplot

> boxplot(web,mobile)



> boxplot(web,mobile,col="green")



To be honest – this example was boring

But what if results had been like this:

	Web	Mobile II
	50,7	80,2
	46,8	30,1
	52,3	30,5
	49,6	80,2
	56,2	32,4
	47,6	31,2
	52,1	78,1
	49,3	79,7
	47,5	30,2
	51,4	31,1
Average	50.4	50.4

"On average web and mobile app have the same task completion time" (!!!)

Boxplot and t-test reveal more insights



- Median of mobile app is much lower (-18.4 seconds)
- but: also very high task completion times were measured (max = 80,2 seconds)

=> Look into qualitative data for explanation (e.g. participants who found the "retrieve current location" function were much faster

Reporting Qualitative Evaluations

- Let participants rate statements on Likert Scales
- Again: do not report average result, but present frequencies for Likert scales

Strongly disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree

Visualization by Max Maurer. Script available here http://www.paje-systems.de/likert/

Quotes from participants can also be very interesting