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Please prepare your review on time! (Deadline: 15.12.2016)

For your submission, please send your review as a Word file (docx) and name it “review\_<author-lastname>\_<your\_initials>”.

**Please prepare your review by filling out the following fields of the review form!**

|  |
| --- |
| **Title of the reviewed submission:** |
| **Author of the submission:** |
| **Contribution: What is the main contribution of the submission?**  Please summarize in at least 5 sentences the contribution of this submission. What are the major aspects? |
| **Weaknesses of the paper**  What are the weaknesses of the paper? Explicate what are the weaknesses of this paper in term of its contribution, originality, creativity, rigor, novelty, significance for the field, presentation and organization. How can the authors solve these issues? Are there reasons to reject it? |
| **Strengths of the paper**  What are the strengths of the paper? Explicate what are the strength of this paper in term of its contribution, originality, creativity, rigor, novelty, significance to the field, presentation and organization. Does it inspire you, provide you with new ideas and thoughts, provoke you? Why should it be accepted? |
| **Title, abstract, keywords**  Do title, abstract, and keywords reflect the content of the submission? What could be improved? |
| **Presentation**  Please rate the presentation of the submission. E.g.,:   * What about the readability of the submission? * Is the paper well structured? * Is the quality of the included figures sufficient? * Do all tables and figures have a caption and are they referenced within the text? Did the author state the source of the figure/table? * Did the author use a consistent formatting? * Did the author use the required LaTeX template? Are there any template issues? * Any other recommendations for improvements? |
| **Citations and References**   * Please rate the citation style: * Are there any unsubstantiated claims? If yes, where? * Is it clear what the origin of figures and tables is? Are the references placed properly? * Are the references complete and consistent? * In general: Where should the author add more references? |
| **Additional comments / recommendations for improvement**  Please provide additional comments on how to improve the submission (content, paragraphs that are unclear, other recommendations for improvement) |
| **(Hidden) Comments for the supervisors**  These comments will not be distributed to the authors! Please state any comments, e.g., if paragraphs or even larger parts have been copied from the Internet / other papers. Are there any general problems with this submission? |