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Videoconferencing: Definition

• Multimedia conferencing:
  – Synchronous exchange of *digitized* multimedia information between conference participants at *two or more* separate sites
  – Transferred images:
    » Pictures of the participants
    » Video clips, still pictures and other accompanying material
    » Screen or window content
  – Transferred sound:
    » Discussions between meeting participants
    » Sound from accompanying material
• Group-system videoconferencing:
  Joins two groups of people meeting in physically separate rooms
• Personal videoconferencing:
  Joins individual users (desktops, phones)
• Two sites (*point-to-point*) or more (*multi-point*)
An Old Dream: Video Telephony

"Telephonoscope"
imaginary invention
by Edison

George du Maurier
Punch
Dec 9th, 1878
An Old Dream: Video Conferencing in Movies

Metropolis, 1927

Star Trek, 1970s

2001: A Space Odyssey, 1968
Fritz Lang: Metropolis (1927)
Stanley Kubrick: 2001 – A Space Odyssey (1968)
Videophone Prototype 1955

Kay Labs, San Diego + Pacific T&T (Bell System)
History of Videoconferencing

• Bell Labs, 1920s: First videoconference between Washington and New York
• Bell Labs, 1940s: Videoconference research resumed
• Bell Labs, 1964: Picturephone.
  – Other pioneers, 1970s: NEC, British Telecom (1979)
• 1983: Compression of video signal to phone line bandwidth: Widcom project (DARPA)
• 1984: PictureTel, first software-based videoconferencing system (224 Kbps)
• 1994: Intel ProShare system (two ISDN B-channels)
• 1996: Standards H.323 and H.324, including H.263 compression
• 1996 until today: Trend to use IP data network technology instead of ISDN
Picturephone Mod 1
(Bell Labs, 1969)

http://www.uni-due.de/kowi/gal_picturephone.shtml
System Type I: Picturephones

- Telephone sets enhanced by video display and small camera
- Available on the market already for significant time
  - E.g. for ISDN

Pictures: Aethra
System Type II: Desktop Systems

• Desktop videoconferencing systems
  – PC with small camera mounted above the monitor
  – “Picture phone” on PC basis
  – Optimal for application sharing
• Disadvantages:
  – Usable only by a person a time
  – Limited picture and sound quality
• Cost 2001: 500 – 2000 € plus PC
• Cost now: Very low (often built in)
• Pure software solutions:
  – Simple standard systems like Ekiga, Apple FaceTime, Microsoft Skype
  – Sophisticated specialized software with dedicated servers/online service (e.g. Microsoft Office LifeMeeting)
System Type III: Set-Top Systems

• Small box containing camera, microphone, speakers, codec, network interface, …
  – To be put on top of TV set or monitor
• Simple, easy to use, targeted also to computer-illiterate users
• Disadvantage:
  – “Vendor lock-in”: Upgrades are often difficult
• Cost: 3000 – 9000 €

Picture: LifeSize (Team 220)
System Type IV: Rollabout Systems

• Movable, medium-sized unit, often a rolling cabinet, containing
  – High-quality audio, video and telecommunication systems
  – One or two large monitors
  – Remotely controllable camera
• Optimal for small groups (three to six people)
• Cost: 10.000 – 20.000 €
System Type V: Room Systems

- Room custom-equipped for conferencing requirements
- Possibly many cameras and monitors
- Furniture integrated with conferencing equipment (cameras, monitors)
- High-quality sound system
- Cost: 30,000 – 1,000,000 €

HP Halo System
(www.telepresenceoptions.com)

www.omalleygc.com
Video Conference Room Design

Room 1 (Seattle)  Virtual Window  Room 2 (Chicago)

Source: Rhodes p. 79

Figure 4-9 Two distant VTC rooms separated only by a virtual window.
System Type VI: Telepresence Systems

- Telepresence device
  - containing screen, camera, phone, speaker
  - Column-like form, screen/camera on eye height
- Remotely controlled robot wheels for free movement interaction
- Example: “beam” telepresence robot, cost 2014 ca. USD 16.000

www.suitabletech.com
Advertisement Video for Telepresence Robot

www.suitabletech.com
Shoulder-Mounted Telepresence Avatars

"TEROOS"
Keio University, Japan
Interaction 2011
Source: diginfo.tv

"Polly"
FXPAL Labs, USA, 2014
Sven Kratz, Patrick Proppe
Source: fxpal.com
System Type VII: Handheld Systems

- Videoconferencing clients running on mobile devices
  - Smartphones
  - Tablets
  - E.g. Apps for iOS or Android
- Examples: Apple FaceTime, LifeSize UVC ClearSea client
- Cost: Very low cost + subscription (in some cases)

Pictures: Apple/LifeSize
Camera Control

• Far-end camera control:
  – Participant or operator in room A allowed to control camera in room B
  – Useful when untrained people in room B
  – Mainly for point-to-point conferences
  – Standards exist (e.g. H.281/H.224 and H.323 V 5 Appendix Q, 2003)

• Camera presets:
  – Angles to view individual participants and other perspectives are pre-programmed before conference starts
  – Camera can be moved with a single key press, e.g. to show a specific participant

• Follow-me function:
  – Camera movement automatically synchronized with room or speaker microphones
  – Camera snaps into position for current speaker
Copy-Stand Camera

- Typical accessory of videoconference rooms
Echo and Feedback

- Hands-free conference:
  - Feedback of own and foreign sound signals through loudspeaker into microphone
  - Various sources for delays
- Solutions: Cancellation in software, special microphones, headsets

Picture: Uni Erlangen
Videoconferencing as Cloud Service

- Cloud resources:
  - Hardware (conference bridges)
  - Codecs (transcoding)
  - Directory services
- Simple clients
- No proprietary server needed
- Service paid per use

Pictures: 8x8.com, Polycom
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Application: Xerox PARC
Media Spaces

- Xerox PARC, mid 1980-s
  - Link between Palo Alto/California and Portland/Oregon
  - “Always-on” audio/video links between offices and meeting rooms
  - Later (1990s): “Porthole” project linking PARC and EuroPARC

- Positive effects:
  - Awareness of remote situation (e.g. presence of people at remote site)
  - Enabling informal encounters across sites

- Problems:
  - Boundaries of personal and private space
  - Integration into daily work life
  - Time shifts

Pictures: Steve Harrison (people.cs.vt.edu/~srh/), billbuxton.com
Application: Preventing Nuclear Destruction

• Videoconference technology helped to protect the world during the year 2000 date rollover
  – To avoid control problems of nuclear power stations
  – Videoconference link between
    » Emergency Center of the U.S. Department of Energy (Washington)
    » Situation and Crisis Center of MinAtom (Moscow)
  – Expert exchange: Experts of the remote side present locally

• T1 line (24 phone lines bandwidth), off-the-shelf video codecs, LCD projectors etc.

• Newly developed (UNIX-based) video transmission software
Application: Distance Learning

• Lectures transmitted to remote students
  – Training of staff in businesses
  – Home-learning
• Integration of remote guest speakers in meetings

www.sllboces.org
Application: Telemedicine

(According to Wilcox, p. 37)

• Remote consultation of medical specialists
  – Military health care for patients on remote bases
  – Health care services for prison inmates
  – Rapid emergency response
  – Specialist support during critical operations

• Visiting nurses video-consulting with patients
  – Allows reduction of physical visits

• Additional data:
  – Pictures:
    X-ray, tomography, …
  – Lab results
  – Current vital data
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Meet-Me Conference

- Conference is pre-arranged
  - Time and address of bridge are known to participants
- Participants call the bridge to enter the conference
  - Bridge may also call out to participants
- Central conference bridge is a resource owned by a network or service provider
  - Mixes and distributes audio and video signals
- Examples: Telephone conference services, Skype conference call
Ad-Hoc Conference

- Conference starts as a point-to-point conversation
- Participants *invite* other people by calling their terminals
- Conference is usually not pre-arranged
- Example: Three-way call in ISDN/private telephone exchanges
  - A talks to B
  - A puts B on hold
  - A calls C
  - A joins B and C into a three-way call
- Originating user must be able to provide bridge functionality
  - Bridge outside the public network, e.g. in a private network
  - Capacity limited (e.g. in number of participants)
Interactive-Broadcast Conference

- Asymmetric conference
  - Master distributes media and signaling to many terminals
  - Terminals have a much simpler back channel to the master (e.g. just signaling or a plain text stream)
- Scales to thousands of terminals
- Typical applications: tele-teaching, business TV
Multi-Unicast Network Configuration (P2P)

- Difficult to implement, no single point of failure, high bandwidth usage
- Suitable for ad-hoc conferences with low participant numbers
- Bad scalability
Multicast Network Configuration

- Uses multicast addresses
- Difficult to implement, no single point of failure, bandwidth-efficient
- Suitable for interactive broadcasts with high number of participants
Master-Slave Network Configuration

- Easy to implement, single point of failure, medium bandwidth-efficiency
- Suitable for meet-me and ad-hoc conferences of medium size
- *Note:* Hybrid forms may use different configurations for signaling and media!
  - H.323: Master-Slave signaling, master-slave or multicast media distribution