Adding Usability to Web Engineering Models and Tools

Richard Atterer and Albrecht Schmidt Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich Media Informatics Group

richard.atterer©ifi.lmu.de albrecht.schmidt©acm.org

5th International Conference on Web Engineering Sydney, Australia, 27th July 2005





- Research Goal
- Current Approach to Automated Validation
 - Existing Usability Validators
 - Common Problem
- Improving Validation Quality
 - Idea: Use Information from WE Models
 - List of Possible Extensions
- Prototype Implementation
- Conclusion



Research Goal

Add "usability support" to Web Engineering:

- How can (semi-)automatic usability testing be integrated into WE tools?
- What extensions to WE methods, models and tools make sense?

This paper: Usability tool support – Validation of HTML code



- Research Goal
- Current Approach to Automated Validation
 - Existing Usability Validators
 - Common Problem
- Improving Validation Quality
 - Idea: Use Information from WE Models
 - List of Possible Extensions
- Prototype Implementation
- Conclusion



Existing Usability Validators

How powerful are the tests of state-of-theart usability/accessibility validators?

Examined the following:

- A-Prompt
- Bobby
- EvalIris
- Kwaresmi

- LIFT
- NAUTICUS
- WAVE
- WebTango

Common Problem of Existing Validators

- Too many general warning messages
- Messages tell user to make manual checks

Examples:

LIFT: "Please check if the table is used to present data and in such a case provide header information."

Bobby: "Are there navigation bars for easy access to the navigation structure?"

WAVE: Always warns if ALT text of an image is empty, even if image is ornamental.



- Research Goal
- Current Approach to Automated Validation
 - Existing Usability Validators
 - Common Problem
- Improving Validation Quality
 - Idea: Use Information from WE Models
 - List of Possible Extensions
- Prototype Implementation
- Conclusion



Improving Validation Quality

Idea: Use information from existing Web Engineering models. For example:

- Presentation model assigns meaning to page areas ("this is advertising")
 - ⇒ Does page use standard layout?
- Navigation model gives navigation paths and interaction patterns ("guided tour")
 - ⇒ Check click distances

Possible Model Extensions

Timing

Overall contact time with site, time per visit; time taken to perform main tasks; maximum time for delivery of pages

Purpose of the site

Main objective; required information/navigation complexity; site type (sensational, educational, informational...)

Target group, anticipated user

Main user group; age distribution; computer skills; technical infrastructure (computer type, Internet connection)



- Research Goal
- Current Approach to Automated Validation
 - Existing Usability Validators
 - Common Problem
- Improving Validation Quality
 - Idea: Use Information from WE Models
 - List of Possible Extensions
- Prototype Implementation
- Conclusion



Prototype Implementation

For more information, please visit the demo session!



Conclusion and Further Work

- I do not want to replace the usability expert, but make his work easier
- If usability is built into WE/authoring tools (results are "usable by default"), this will improve sites even when people do not pay attention to usability issues at all
- Further work: Improve prototype, demonstrate integration into WE environments, other tools (not validators)

Thank you!

Richard Atterer and Albrecht Schmidt Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich Media Informatics Group

richard.atterer©ifi.lmu.de albrecht.schmidt©acm.org

