Übung zur Vorlesung Informationsvisualisierung

Alexander De Luca, Emanuel von Zezschwitz Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München Wintersemester 2011/2012

Distortion (fisheyes and stuff)

Why Distortion?

- Show a huge amount of information with limited amount of space
- Focus plus context: provide context to support navigation tasks
- No zooming and no scrolling required



Distortion

Distance in distorted view



Example: Transfer function for a bifocal display

Fisheye Menu

- Example: Fisheye Menu by Bederson et al. [1]
- Font-size reduced with distance from the cursor
- <u>Demo</u>

Fixation area to solve the overshoot problem





Fisheye Menu [1]

Fisheye Menu Study

- 10 users
- ArrowBar vs. ScrollBar vs. Hierarchy vs. Fisheye
- click 3 items (near beginning/middle/ end)
- "browse the lists for a website you would like to visit"





Fisheye Menu

• Transfer function for the fisheye menu [1]





Magnifying Glass

- Magnifying glass is not a focus plus context technique
- Why not?

I am just an example. Do not read me since that would be a waste of your precious time. If you already did read me ... too bad for you.



Context close to the detail gets completely lost!

Ambient Infovis

Ambient Infovis

- Mostly no direct interaction
- Non-distracting (to a certain extent)
- Embedded into the surrounding
- In the best case, preattentive information processing
- Examples:



MoodyBoard [1]

Ambient security visualization



MoodyBoard



The site's security certificate is not trusted!

You attempted to reach www.pst.ifi.lmu.de, but the server presented a certificate issued by an entity that is not trusted by your computer's operating system. This may mean that the server has generated its own security credentials, which Google Chrome cannot rely on for identity information, or an attacker may be trying to intercept your communications. You should not proceed, **especially** if you have never seen this warning before for this site.

Proceed anyway Back to safety

Help me understand

blocking

C //www.pst.ifi.lmu.de/uniworx/

non-blocking



Video

TD

.

15

0

Pilot Study

- notifications vs. users' interpretation
- repeated measures study
- 4 different tasks (counterbalanced)
- 24 participants





 But: all identified red as warnings and > 50% mentioned data security

Results: Avoid Positive Feedback

"I guess this means that EVERYTHING is fine!!"

connection is secure data will be handled carefully only very nice people work for this company



Security Study

- does it support secure behavior?
- mixed model design (moodyboard vs control)
- four tasks (PW and credit card data)
- 32 participants (16 per group)



Results



identified phishing site

Results



identified phishing site

Results: False Positives

- 3 false positives
- 2 possibly due to suspicion about the experiment
- and one:

"not sure whether this is really the trip my friend wanted to book"

Results: Falling for Phish

- 3 misinterpretations of the warnings
- one users thought the wrong URLs were necessary for the experiment

"I thought I was suppossed to press the red button"



References

- Benjamin B. Bederson. 2000. Fisheye menus. In Proceedings of the 13th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology (UIST '00). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 217-225.
- Alexander De Luca, Bernhard Frauendienst, Max-Emanuel Maurer, Julian Seifert, Doris Hausen, Niels Kammerer, and Heinrich Hussmann. 2011. Does MoodyBoard make internet use more secure?: evaluating an ambient security visualization tool. In Proceedings of the 2011 annual conference on Human factors in computing systems (CHI '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 887-890.
- 3. Doris Hausen, Andreas Butz. Extending Interaction to the Periphery. Workshop Embodied Interaction: Theory and Practice in HCI. In conjunction with 29th ACM International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI 2011), Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 07-12, 2011.